

**Before the
MAHARASHTRA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
World Trade Centre, Centre No.1, 13th Floor, Cuffe Parade, Mumbai 400005
Tel. 022 22163964/65/69 Fax 22163976
Email: mercindia@merc.gov.in
Website: www.merc.gov.in**

Date: 11 May 2021

**CORAM: Sanjay Kumar, Chairperson
I. M. Bohari, Member
Mukesh Khullar, Member**

Case No. 42 of 2021

Petition of Lalpur Wind Energy Private Limited under Section 86, 142 and 146 of the Electricity Act, 2003 against Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Company Limited for non-compliance of the Commission's Order dated 4 July 2020 passed in Case No. 60 of 2020.

&

Case No.43 of 2021

Petition of Khandke Wind Energy Private Limited under Section 86, 142 and 146 of the Electricity Act, 2003 against Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Company Limited for non-compliance of the Commission's Order dated 4 July 2020 passed in Case No. 66 of 2020

M/s Lalpur Wind Energy Private Limited (LWEPL)

M/s Khandke Wind Energy Private Limited (KWEPL)

: Petitioners

M/s Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Company Ltd. (MSEDCL)

: Respondent

Appearance:

For the Petitioners

: Shri. Abhishek Munot (Adv.)

For the Respondent

: Shri. Ravi Prakash (Adv)

Daily Order

During the hearing, Respondents MSEDCL's Advocate stated that it has challenged the Impugned Orders before the APTEL and has also moved an application for stay. He informed that there is a likelihood that these matters be listed before the APTEL in the coming week or the next week. It was also pointed out that Petitioners have also challenged the Impugned Orders

before APTEL. As orders have been challenged by both parties, he suggested that present proceeding be deferred so that it can pursue its application for stay before the APTEL.

Advocate of the Petitioners opposed the adjournment request of MSEDCL and stated that as there is no stay on the Orders of the Commission, the same needs to be enforced. During the hearing, he has referred to WindIndia matter wherein APTEL has directed the Commission to enforce its Orders and the claims that their cases are identical to that of WindIndia. He further stated that in case the Commission decides to adjourn the matter it should not be for more than 2 weeks.

Considering the above, the Commission decided to adjourn these matters for 2 weeks.

Accordingly, next date of hearing in these matters will be communicated by the Secretariat of the Commission.

Sd/-
(Mukesh Khullar)
Member

Sd/-
(I. M. Bohari)
Member

Sd/-
(Sanjay Kumar)
Chairperson